

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10

11 ERIC JOHNSON,
12 Petitioner,
13 v.
14 BRIAN WILLIAM, et al.,
15 Respondents.
16

Case No. 2:18-cv-02343-GMN-CWH

ORDER

17 Petitioner has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 13), and counsel
18 for petitioner has filed a notice of appearance (ECF No. 10). The court finds that petitioner is
19 unable to afford counsel, and the court will formally appoint the Federal Public Defender.

20 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF
21 No. 13) is **GRANTED**.

22 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the Federal Public Defender is appointed as counsel for
23 petitioner pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). Counsel will represent petitioner in all federal
24 proceedings related to this matter, including any appeals or certiorari proceedings, unless allowed
25 to withdraw.

26 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that petitioner shall have until up to and including ninety
27 (90) days from entry of this order within which to file an amended petition and/or seek other
28 appropriate relief. Neither the foregoing deadline nor any extension thereof signifies or will

1 signify any implied finding as to the expiration of the federal limitation period and/or of a basis
2 for tolling during the time period established. Petitioner at all times remains responsible for
3 calculating the running of the federal limitation period and timely asserting claims, without regard
4 to any deadlines established or extensions granted herein. That is, by setting a deadline to amend
5 the petition and/or by granting any extension thereof, the Court makes no finding or
6 representation that the petition, any amendments thereto, and/or any claims contained therein are
7 not subject to dismissal as untimely. See Sossa v. Diaz, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).


8 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that respondents shall file a response to the amended
9 petition, including potentially by motion to dismiss, within sixty (60) days of service of an
10 amended petition and that petitioner may file a reply within thirty (30) days of service of an
11 answer. The response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including a motion filed
12 in lieu of a pleading, shall be governed instead by Local Rule LR 7-2(b).

13 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that any procedural defenses raised by respondents to the
14 counseled amended petition shall be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. In
15 other words, the Court does not wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either in
16 serial fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural
17 defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver. Respondents
18 shall not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their
19 response on the merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims
20 clearly lacking merit. If respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2):
21 (a) they shall do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they shall
22 specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in
23 Cassett v. Stewart, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses,
24 including exhaustion, shall be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses,
25 including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

26 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents shall
27 specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record
28 materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

1 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that, notwithstanding Local Rule LR IC 2-2(g), paper copies
2 of any electronically filed exhibits need not be provided to chambers or to the staff attorney,
3 unless later directed by the court.

4 DATED: July 26, 2019

5 
6 GLORIA M. NAVARRO
7 Chief United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28